

ER

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS : Consolidated Under
LIABILITY LITIGATION (No. VI) : MDL DOCKET NO. 875
:
VARIOUS PLAINTIFFS :
:
v. : 08-92066
:
VARIOUS DEFENDANTS : CASCINO VAUGHAN
:
FEB 27 2012 : CASES LISTED IN EXHIBIT "A,"
:
ATTACHED

MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk
By _____ Dep. Clerk

O R D E R

AND NOW, this 27th day of February, 2012, it is hereby
ORDERED that Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment listed in
Exhibit "A," attached, are GRANTED as unopposed.¹

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.


EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.

¹ Plaintiffs have not opposed Defendants' motions for summary judgment. As provided under local rules, for motions for summary judgment, the Court must independently determine that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. See Loc. R. Civ. P. 7.1(c).

The basis for Defendants' motions is that Plaintiffs have failed to raise a genuine issue of material fact as to whether Plaintiffs' asbestos-related injuries were caused by products manufactured, supplied, or distributed by these Defendants. Based on the uncontested evidence presented by Defendants, the Court concludes that these Defendants are entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

EXHIBIT "A"

08-92066: doc. nos. 217, 212

10-68122: doc. nos. 106, 109, 148

11-63482: doc. nos. 295, 268

10-61116: doc. no. 146